

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,540	12/13/2005	Timothy Allan Rhome	GME-172A	6449
26875 7590 07/20/2010 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			EXAMINER	
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
CINCINNATI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560 540 RHOME, TIMOTHY ALLAN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Reginald L. Alexander 3742 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,540

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7 and 19-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day et al. in view of Rogers et al.

There is disclosed in Day a popcom popper comprising: a cabinet 14, 16; a popping kettle 18; a popped popcorn bin (bottom area of cabinet section 16); a filter passage 308 having an inlet 306 in an upper portion of the cabinet proximate the kettle; a plurality of filtration stations 438, 442 within the filter passage for removing particulates and oil from a vapor effluent issuing from the popping of popcorn in the kettle; a blower 454 for sucking vapor effluent (by creating a vacuum) into the inlet and through the passage discharging filtered effluent into the area surrounding the cabinet; and wherein the cabinet has two sides (left and right) which are each open for operator access.

Rogers discloses, for use with a cabinet contained cooking device, a filter passage in fluid communication with a cooking vessel but unconnected thereto. The filter passage receiving smoke or fumes from the cooking vessel as they are released and pass through the air to the filter.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,540

Art Unit: 3742

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the passage to filter 308 in Day with that taught by Rogers, and remove connecting pipe 306, in order to provide an alternative means for passing smoke or fumes to the filter. This arrangement allowing for the elimination of parts and a reduction in production cost.

In regards to claims 2 and 23, the rate at which the filtered effluent discharges from the blower is an operational preference and fails to disclose any structural limitations.

Claims 4, 5, 8-17 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day et al. in view of Rogers et al. as applied to claims above, and further in view of Hansen.

Hansen discloses, within a cooking apparatus, the use of a filter passage 4, 11, including within the passage a first oil removing filter 19 and a second particulate removing filter 20, a filter passage inlet 5, a blower 7 for sucking vapor through the passage and past the first and second filters, and a blower effluent outlet 8, 24 which discharges into a cooking apparatus cabinet.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the filtration stations of Day with the first and second filters taught in Hansen, in order to better clean the vapor passed through the filter passage.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the blower arrangement of Day, as modified by Rogers, with that taught in Hansen, in order to improve the movement of vapor through the filter passage and control the discharge of cleaned air.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,540 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Reginald L. Alexander/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742